

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

1731 DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/456,270	12/07/1999	BRUCE J. KOKKO	2130(FJ-99-1	6225	
75	90 02/03/2003				
MCHAEL W FERRELL ESQ FERRELLS LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 312		ALVO, MARC S			
CLIFTON, VA 20124-1706			ADTIDUT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/456,270	KOKKO, BRUCE J.			
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	Th. 1111 110 0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Steve Alvo	1731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sides of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 store of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 store of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 store of the provision of 18 CFR 1.13 store of 18 CF	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 N	lovember 2002 .				
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.				
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E on of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the ments is 53 O.G. 213.			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-5,7-10,13-15,17-20,31-35 and 56-6	1 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>62-64</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10,13-15,17-20,31-35 and 56-61</u>	is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application	on Papers	·				
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b) objected to by the Exan	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		ed by the Examiner.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply					
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3	Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have been received	in this National Stage			
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provi cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional application has been rece	ived			
Attachment(:	s)	. , 33 120 (
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev.	emark Office 04-01) Office Actin	on Summary	Post of Dansa No. 17			

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant elected the claims drawn to the process of making an absorbent sheet and elected the species 1-(2-octadecenamidoethyl)-2-heptadecenyl-3-methylimidazolinium methyl sulfate as the quaternary ammonium surfactant and PEG dioleate as the non-ionic surfactant in Paper No. 5, such restriction is made Final. New claims 62-64 are not drawn to the elected species, 1-(2-octadecenamidoethyl)-2-heptadecenyl-3-methylimidazolinium methylsulfate and PEG dioleate, and are withdrawn from consideration.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-15, 17-20, 31-35, and 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over ADMITTED PRIOR ART (IDS filed 10-15-2001) in view of OSBORN '699 and BACK et al '681.

The ADMITTED PRIOR ART (Formulation A) teaches using the same quaternary ammonium surfactant and a very similar cationic surfactant used by Applicant to treat paper pulp with a debonding composition (Formulation A) to make an absorbent sheet. OSBORN '699 also teaches using quaternary ammonium surfactant and a nonionic surfactant to make absorbent sheet materials. OSBORN '699 teaches that the same amount of quaternary ammonium surfactant (column 4, lines 32-37) and nonionic surfactant (column 4, lines 63-66) can be used in the composition, e.g. 0.5 5.0 g/kg bone-dry fiber of each of the surfactants. It would have been

Art Unit: 1731

obvious to use the surfactants of the ADMITTED PRIOR art in the proportions taught by OSBORN '699. At best Applicant is optimizing the "debonding", "absorbency" and "softening" of the prior art. There is a reasonable expectation that the debonding of the prior art would yield a sheet material having the desired amount of "debonding", "absorbency" and "softening". In re O'Farrel, 7 USPQ2d 1673, 1680-81. In any event, it is well settled that an artisan with ordinary skill would have found it obvious to determine workable or even optimum values for an art recognized, result effective parameter, such as the proper amount of "debonding", "absorbency" and "softening", In re Boasch, 205 USPQ 215, 219; In re Aller, 105, USPQ 233, 235. OSBORN '699 also teaches (column 4, lines 41-66) the alternativeness of using various alkoxylated esters of a fatty acid as the nonionic surfactant. The use of the elected species, PEG dioleate, would have been obvious from the teachings of OSBORN '699. See OSBORN, III for using alkoxylated and ethoxylated fatty acids and alcohols, including PEG-9 cleate and PEG-4 dilaurate. The specific alkoxylated and ethoxylated fatty acids and alcohols appear to be commercially available. It would have been prima facie obvious to substitute one alkoxylated or ethoxylated fatty acid or alcohol surfactant for another. BACK et al teaches that absorbent sheets can be made from recycle paper, e.g. newspapers. It would have been obvious to the artisan to use the recycled wood pulp of BACK et al as the wood pulp of the ADMITTED PRIOR ART. This would have been especially obvious as the debonding composition (Formulation B) is promoted by its manufacturer as being "Best on virgin and mixed virgin/recycled", see IDS page 2, last paragraph. Clearly Formulation B can be used on recycled pulp.

Art Unit: 1731

The 11-25-2002 Declaration, of Dr. Bruce J. Kokko, has been considered. However, the Declaration does not compare the elected process to the closest prior art, e.g. formulation A. It would have been prima facie obvious to substitute one nonionic surfactant for another. The Declaration states that Formulation A, which is the closest Prior Art (a commercially available debonder composition), uses a PEG dioleate. This is the same PEG-oleate as the elected species. Applicant compares formulae A to formulation P that does not have a PEG-dioleate, but instead uses PEG-400-monooleate. Applicant states that Formulation P is the "of the Application. It is assumed Applicant is comparing the instant invention (Formula P) to the closest Prior Art (formula A). PEG-400-monooleate is not the elected species. The Examiner has not searched such a species. The broad claims read on the elected species, PEG-dioleate, and the 132 Declaration does not overcome the prima facie case of obviousness over Formulation A, the ADMITTED PRIOR ART.

The 35 USC 112, paragraph 1 rejections have been dropped as Applicant has shown that all the terms were originally disclosed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1731

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

It is noted that the Amendment, both copies faxed to Office, is missing page 1. Page 1 should be supplied with the next response.

When filing an "Official" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file. The "Official" FAX phone numbers for this TC 1700 are:

Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

Non-Final Fax:

(703) 872-9310

After-Final FAX:

(703) 872-9311

When filing an "Unofficial" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Unofficial" for Draft Documents and other Communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The "Unofficial" FAX phone number for this Art Unit (1731) is (703) 305-7115.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the primary examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2048. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:00 AM - 2:30 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 703-308-3837.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Group receptionist** whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The Customer Services Center for Technology Center 1700 (703-306-5665) shall provide the following service assistance to external and internal customers in the areas listed below.

Services provided:

Art Unit: 1731

Patent Application Filing Receipts
Missing References
Information regarding When Action can be Expected
Lost/Missplaced/Requested Application retrieval
Retrieval from PTO Publication Branch
Retrieval from non-Publication Branch
Status Queries (written or oral)
Paper Matching Queries
Certificates of Correction
Printer Waiting

The Customer Service Office, TC 1700, is located in CP3-8-D13, and is open to receive requests for service in person, by phone (703) 306-5665, or E-mail "Customer Service 1700" from 8:30 am-5:00 pm each business day. The Customer Services Center is part of the Special Programs Office of TC 1700 and will be staffed by the Technical Information Specialists who will serve as Customer Service Representatives (CSR).

MSA January 30, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1731